

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/655,210	09/04/2003	Eric Bergman	54008.8087.US01	7002	
45540	7590 07/18/2005	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
PERKINS COIE LLP/SEMITOOL			PERRIN, JOSEPH L		
PO BOX 1208 SEATTLE, WA 98111-			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1746		

DATE MAILED: 07/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		60		ℓC				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/655,210	BERGMAN ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Joseph L. Perrin, Ph.D.	1746					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address					
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 M	ay 2005.						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received. s have been received in Ap	plication No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	-	eceived in this National Stage					
* 0	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
3	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list (or the certified copies not re	:ceivea.					
Attachment	(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Mail Date Domal Patent Application (PTO-152)	ŀ				
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. In response to applicant's response filed 25 February 2005, the status of the application is as follows:

The rejection of claim 8 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph is withdrawn in view of applicant's arguments and amendment overcoming the rejection.

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims rejection over MCMILLAN have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that MCMILLAN does not disclose a "workpiece" defined as "silicon or gallium arsenide wafers, flat panel displays, mask reticles, rigid disk media, thin film heads, or other substrates on which electronic, optical, or micro-mechanical components have or can be formed." This is not persuasive, because such definition is not fully limiting as applicant asserts. Namely, the workpiece is described as a workpiece "such as silicon or gallium arsenide wafers, flat panel displays, mask reticles, rigid disk media, thin film heads, or other substrates on which electronic, optical, or micro-mechanical components have or can be formed", such broad description not being limited to the specific examples disclosed.

In response to applicant's arguments that "McMillan does not suggest [the] pivoting step", this is not persuasive because the pouring step of MCMILLAN

Application/Control Number: 10/655,210

Art Unit: 1746

anticipates applicant's claimed step of "pivoting". It is noted that there is no structural language which prevents applicant's claimed step of "pivoting" from being performed by hand, as in MCMILLAN. In response to applicant's arguments that "[t]here is also no discussion in McMillan as to how the cleansing fluid is removed from the container 40", applicant is directed to col. 3, line 65 et seq. of MCMILLAN, which clearly states "the cleansing fluid may be poured out of the container". In response to applicant's argument that "[t]here is no description anywhere in McMillan of pivoting the container, the position is taken that a person of ordinary skill in the art would immediately recognize the teaching of MCMILLAN of pouring out the cleaning fluid would require pivoting the container and would read on applicant's instant claims.

Page 3

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., rotating by "sustaining 360° + movement") are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Application/Control Number: 10/655,210

Art Unit: 1746

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 4

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-6, 10, 12-15, 17 & 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 3,050,073 to MCMILLAN. In Figures 1-2 and relative associated text, MCMILLAN discloses processing workpieces by placing workpieces in a workpiece support (basket 10; see Figures 2, col. 2, lines 1-17, col. 3, lines 37-44). enclosing the workpiece support with workpieces within chamber (enclosure 40 with lid/door 43; see Figure 1 & col. 3, lines 1-5), providing a process liquid in the chamber and immersing the workpieces (see col. 3, lines 37-50), introducing a vapor (see "sprayed" in col. 3, lines 62-64), pivoting the chamber to remove the process liquid (see col. 3, lines 65-68), enclosing the chamber with an outer containment chamber (see "sink" disclosure in col. 3, lines 25-27). Re claims 3 & 12, MCMILLAN further discloses springs 46 (col. 3, lines 7-21) on the bottom of the workpiece holder for resilient support but doesn't explicitly disclose rotating the workpiece holder to provide fluid agitation. The position is taken that one of ordinary skill would recognize that operation of the workpiece holder having such resilient supports would inherently create a certain degree of rotation about a central axis of the workpiece holder bottom during contact of the springs with the bottom of the chamber/container 40. Since applicant does not define any degree of rotation, the position is taken that any degree of rotation of a workpiece holder reads on applicant's claimed invention. Accordingly, recitation of MCMILLAN reads on applicant's claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/655,210 Page 5

Art Unit: 1746

Conclusion

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

- 4. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph L. Perrin, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571)272-1305. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00-4:30, except alternate Fridays.
- 6. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael E. Barr can be reached on (571)272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/655,210 Page 6

Art Unit: 1746

7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph L. Perrin, Ph.D. Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1746

jlp